| $\theta$ | 0 | 40 | 80 | 120 | 180 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\sigma_{0}$ | +10.87 | +8.06 | +6.97 | -4.55 | -1.58 |
| $\sigma_{\theta}$ | +5.52 | +3.96 | +2.84 | -3.12 | -4.28 |

Values of $\sigma_{\theta}$ for the isotropic case are given in the third line; $\theta$ is the angle measured from the normal to the half-plane boundary.
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The problem of the impression of two identical axisymmetric stamps in an elastic sphere is considered. It is assumed that the surface of the sphere outside the stamps is stress-free, while there are no shear stresses under the stamps. A solution is obtained for arbitrary stamps for both given and unknown in advance boundaries of the contact domains by the method elucidated in [1]. A numerical calculation is presented for spherical stamps under internal contact with the sphere.

The contact problem for a sphere in such a formulation (when the boundaries of the contact domains are known) was first studied in [2]. The problem was reduced to determining certain coefficients from dual series-equations containing Legendre polynomials. The method permitting reduction of the solution of the obtained dual series - equations to the solution of an infinite system of linear algebraic equations is indicated. This method is reduced to an integral equation of the first kind in [3] and a possible scheme is indicated for the approximate solution of the equation obtained.

1. Let us consider the contact problem of impressing two axisymmetric stamps(Fig.1), whose surface is given in a spherical $r, 0, \varphi$ coordinate system by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=R[1+\rho(\theta)], \rho(\pi-0)=\rho(\theta), \rho(0)=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

onto an elastic sphere $r \leqslant R$.
The boundary conditions (on the sphere $r=R$ ) are ( $2 a R$ is the approach of the stamps):

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{r}=R[-a|\cos \theta|+\rho(\theta)], 0 \leqslant 0 \leqslant \gamma \text { and } \pi-\gamma \leqslant \theta \leqslant \pi \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\sigma_{r}=0, & \gamma<\theta<\pi-\gamma \\
\tau_{r 0}=0, & 0 \leqslant \theta \leqslant \pi
\end{array}
$$

Determine the contact pressure $\sigma(0)(0 \leqslant \theta \leqslant \gamma)$ and (in the case of stamps without comers) the magnitude of the angle $\gamma$ characterizing the size of the contact domains.

Using the closed solution of the problem of equilibrium of an elastic sphere [4], we satisfy conditions (1,2). Taking this into account, we obtain the integral equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\dot{\theta}}^{\gamma} \sigma(x)[H(\theta, x)+H(\theta, \pi-\alpha)] \sin \alpha d x=2 \pi G \times  \tag{1.3}\\
& \quad[-a|\cos \theta|+\rho(\theta)], 0 \leqslant \theta \leqslant \gamma
\end{align*}
$$

Fig. 1
to determine the contact pressure by virtue of the symmetry $\sigma(\pi-\theta)-\sigma(\theta)$, or after a change of variables

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon t=\operatorname{tg} 1 / 2 \alpha, \quad \varepsilon x=\operatorname{tg} 1 / 2 \theta, \quad \varepsilon=\operatorname{tg} 1 / 2 \gamma  \tag{1.4}\\
& \int_{0}^{1} q(t)\left[\frac{4 t}{x+t} K\left(\frac{2 \sqrt{x t}}{x+t}\right)+\frac{\varepsilon}{\theta_{1}} S(x, t)\right] d t=\frac{\varepsilon}{\theta_{1}} w(x), \quad 0 \leqslant x \leqslant 1 \\
& S(x, t)=\frac{4 \theta_{1} t}{1+\varepsilon^{2} x t} K\left(\frac{2 \varepsilon \sqrt{x t}}{1+\varepsilon^{2} x t}\right)+\frac{t}{\sqrt{\left(1+\varepsilon^{2} x^{2}\right)\left(1+\varepsilon^{2} t^{2}\right)}} \times  \tag{1.5}\\
& \quad\left\{\frac{-3-2 v+4 v^{2}}{1+v}+\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{A}{y^{2}}+\frac{1}{y^{2}}\right)\left[U^{0}(y, x, t)+U(y, 2 \operatorname{arctg} \varepsilon x\right.\right. \\
& \pi-2 \operatorname{arctg} \varepsilon t)] d y\}, \quad q(t)=\frac{4 \varepsilon^{2} \sigma^{0}(t)}{\left(1+\varepsilon^{2} t^{2}\right)^{3 / 2} G} \\
& w(x)=\frac{2}{\sqrt{1+\varepsilon^{2} x^{2}}}\left[-\frac{1-\varepsilon^{2} x^{2}}{1+\varepsilon^{2} x^{2}} a+\rho(2 \operatorname{arctg} \varepsilon x)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

The remaining notation in (1.3)-(1.5) agrees with that used in [1].
The function $S(x, t)$ in (1.5) possesses the same properties, as is easily seen, as the corresponding function (11) in [1].

After regularizing (1.4), based on the solution of the axisymmetric contact problem for an elastic half-space, we obtain a Fredholm integral equation of the second kind to determine the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(x)=\frac{\pi^{2} \theta_{1}}{\varepsilon}\left[q(x)-\frac{c}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}\right] \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which agrees in form with (24) in [1]. The solution of the integral equation obtained, constructed by the asymptotic method in $[1,5]$, can be written in the form of (36) $-(39)$ in [1]. The coefficients $A_{2 n}$ are hence now determined only by the shape (1, 1) of the stamps

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left(1+\varepsilon^{2} x^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \rho(2 \operatorname{arctg} \varepsilon x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{2 n}(\varepsilon x)^{2 n} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficients $c_{i}(i=0,1,2,3)$ take the following values:

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{0}= & \frac{-2-2 v+3 v^{2}}{1+v}+\frac{1}{2} \ln 2+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} A \int_{0}^{1} \frac{y^{2-\lambda}}{1+y} d y-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} A \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1-y^{2-\lambda}}{1-y} d y  \tag{1.8}\\
c_{1}= & \left(1-16 v^{2}\right) \theta_{1}, c_{2}=4+6 v+4 v(1-v) /\left(3-16 v^{2}\right) \\
c_{3}= & -2 c_{0}+\frac{5}{2}-4 \ln 2+6 v-32 v(1-v)(1-2 v)-4 \operatorname{Re} A \int_{0}^{11} y^{2-\lambda} \times \\
& \frac{3+3!y+y^{2}}{(1+!!)^{3}} d y-4 \operatorname{Re} A \int_{0}^{1}\left[y^{2-\lambda}-1+(2-\lambda)(1-y)-\right. \\
& \left.\frac{1}{2}(2-\lambda)(1-\lambda)(1-y)^{2}\right] \frac{3-3 y+y^{2}}{(1-y)^{2}} d!
\end{align*}
$$

The remaining notation is the same as in [1]. Numerical values of the coefficients $c_{i}$ from (1.8) are presented below for different values of the Poisson's ratio $v$.

| $\nu$ | $c_{0}$ | $c_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $c_{\mathbf{z}}$ | $c_{\mathbf{z}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | -2.9309 | 0.1592 | 4.0000 | 0.980 |
| 0.1 | -2.3888 | 0.1203 | 5.6224 | 2.136 |
| 0.2 | -1.8494 | 0.0458 | 6.7104 | 3.932 |
| 0.3 | -1.3503 | -0.0490 | 7.1104 | 5.622 |
| 0.4 | -0.9216 | -0.1490 | 6.8224 | 6.677 |
| 0.5 | -0.5885 | -0.2387 | 6.0000 | 6.345 |

The constant $c$ in (1.6) is determined from the relationship (28) in [1]. For stamps without corners this relationship (taking the form(40) from [1] for $c=0$ ) serves to determine the quantity $\varepsilon=\operatorname{tg} 1 / 2 \gamma$ which character-


Fig. 2 izes the size of the contact domains.

The magnitude of the force $P$ (Fig. 1) acting on the stamp can be found from the equilibrium condition for the stamp. For $c=0$ the resultant $Z$ of the contact pressure, which equals the force $P$ in magnitude, is determined by (41) in [1] with (1.7) and (1.8) taken into account.
2. As in illustration, let us consider the problem of impressing two spherical stamps of radius $R(1+\Delta)$ whose surface is given by the equation $r=\left(\sqrt{1-2 \Delta+\Delta^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta}-\Delta|\cos \theta|\right)$
into an elastic sphere. In this case

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{0}=0, \quad A_{2}=\frac{4 \Delta}{1+\Delta} \\
& A_{4}=-2 \Delta \frac{3+4 \Delta-\Delta^{2}}{(1+\Delta)^{\mathrm{s}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Dependences of the quantities $2 \varepsilon,(1+\Delta) \Delta^{-1} a$, $(1+\Delta)(G \Delta)^{-1} \sigma(0)$ on the quantity $P_{*}=(1+\Delta)\left(R^{2} G \Delta\right)^{-1} P$ for $v=0.3, A_{4} /$ $A_{2} \approx-3 / 2, \quad 0=0$ correspond to curves 1,2 and 3 in Fig. 2. The dashed lines represent the corresponding dependences obtained on the basis of the Hertz solution. A comparison
shows that use of the Hertz solution results in substantial errors for large contact domains.
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A detailed exposition of the mechanical results announced in [1] is given below.
Let us suppose that a thin viscoelastic variable-section rod of finite length $l$ is subjected to weak bending, under the action of longitudinal compressive force $P$, and under the influence of a slowly varying external transverse load $p(x, t)$.

Then the deflection $y(x, t)$ of the rod axis is described by the following boundary value problem [2-4]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}\left(E I(x) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}\right)-P \frac{\partial^{2} y}{\partial x^{2}}-p \int_{0}^{t} K(t, \tau) \frac{\partial^{2} y}{\partial x^{2}} d \tau=  \tag{1}\\
& -n(x, t)-\int_{0}^{t} K(t, \tau) p(x, \tau) d \tau, \quad 0 \leqslant x \leqslant l, \quad 0 \leqslant t<\infty \\
& \quad U_{i}[y]=0, \quad i=1,2,3,4 \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Here the notation introduced in [1], and the conditions imposed on the moment of inertia $I(x)$, the creep kernel $K(t, \tau)$ and the left sides of the boundary conditions $U[y]$, are retained. We also proceed from the definition of Euler stability and the critical value of the force $P$ contained in [1]. (Another approach to this question is contained in [5]).

The purpose of this paper is to obtain a lower bound and an exact formula for the critical value of the force $P$ under substantially mure general conditions than in [4]. Theorem 1 from [1] on the spectrum of the Volterra operator $V$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(V f)(t)=\int_{0}^{t} K(t, \tau) f(\tau) d \tau, \quad 0 \leqslant t<\infty \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

